



HEADWATERS
ECONOMICS

www.headwaterseconomics.org

A SocioEconomic Profile

San Miguel County, New Mexico, Las Vegas CCD

Produced by the
Economic Profile System Community (EPSC)
April 6, 2007

About The Economic Profile System Community (EPSC)

This profile was produced using the Economic Profile System Community (EPSC). EPSC is designed to allow users to automatically and efficiently produce detailed socioeconomic profiles at a variety of geographic scales using the spreadsheet program Microsoft Excel.

Profiles contain tables and figures that illustrate long-term trends in population; employment and personal income by industry; average earnings; business development; retirement and other non-labor income; commuting patterns; agriculture; and earnings by industry.

Databases used for EPSC profiles are from the Bureau of the Census. See the companion product (EPS) to run profiles from additional data sources.

EPS was developed in partnership with the Bureau of Land Management by Ray Rasker, Jeff van den Noort, Ben Alexander and Patty Gude when they were employees of the Sonoran Institute, and continues to be refined and improved by these authors under the auspices of their new organization, Headwaters Economics.

EPS is available for free download from Headwaters Economics (www.headwaterseconomics.org).

For technical questions about EPSC, contact Jeff van den Noort at jeff@headwaterseconomics.org.



www.headwaterseconomics.org

Headwaters Economics conducts social science research to understand demographic and socioeconomic trends and their impacts on changing land use patterns. We use this knowledge to assist individuals, organizations and communities to benefit from their competitive advantages.



www.blm.gov

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM), an agency within the U.S. Department of the Interior, administers 262 million surface acres of America's public lands, located primarily in 12 Western States. The BLM sustains the health, diversity, and productivity of the public lands for the use and enjoyment of present and future generations.



www.sonoran.org

The Sonoran Institute promotes community decisions that respect the land and people of the West. Working with communities since 1990, we believe people make the best decisions about their future when they look at the big picture, work collaboratively and create practical, local solutions. The Institute encourages public participation, civil dialogue and practical solutions that benefit each community as a whole. We believe informed and engaged citizens boost the resilience of a community's economic and natural systems.

Topic	Page	Data Source
Title Page		
About EPSC		
Highlights		
Population by Age and Sex	P-1	SF1
Population by Race	P-2	SF1
Housing Booms and Vacancy	P-3	SF1
Housing Affordability	P-4	SF3
Employment by Industry (NAICS)	P-5	SF3
Employment by Occupation (Soc)	P-6	SF3
Commuting	P-7	SF3
In Migration	P-8	SF3
Income Distribution (Individuals)	P-9	SF3
Income Distribution (Households)	P-10	SF3
Sources of Income	P-11	SF3
Education	P-12	SF3
Workforce (Weeks Worked per Year)	P-13	SF3
Workforce (Hours Per Week)	P-14	SF3
Poverty by Age and Sex	P-15	SF3
Poverty by Race and Family Type (Children)	P-16	SF3
Language and Rural/Urban Breakout	P-17	SF3
Civilian / Military	P-18	SF3
Methods		
Glossary		

Note: The data for this profile is from the U.S. Bureau of the Census, files SF1 and SF3. SF1 represents that data that was collected from the short Census form, which was sent to 100% of the population, but only contains the basic Census information. SF3 represents the more detailed data that was collected from the long form, which was only sent to a portion of the total population. Most of the detailed information in this report is from the SF3. We always retrieved the data from SF1 whenever possible because it is more accurate. Each table in this profile contains a footnote indicating where (SF1 or SF3) the data came from, including the table number. See the methods section at the end of the profile for more information.

Age Breakout in 2000

- The median age in 2000 is 32.7 years.

- In 2000, the baby boom was aged 40 - 55.


- The largest age category is 15 to 19 years old (494 people or 12.3% of the total).

- The population density is 3 people per square mile (excluding water).

Population by Age and Sex							
	F / M Split	Female		Male		Total	
Total	50% / 50%	2,022	100%	1,996	100%	4,018	100%
Under 20 years	50% / 50%	722	36%	731	37%	1,453	36%
65 years and over	49% / 51%	207	10%	212	11%	419	10%
Median Age		32.4		32.9		32.7	

Universe: Total population

Table SF1 - P12



Race

- Race and Ethnicity are broken out separately. The Ethnicity breakout is separate because Hispanics can be of any race.


Total Population by Race		% of Total	
White	2,167	53.9%	
Black or African American	29	0.7%	
American Indian & Alaska Native	68	1.7%	
Asian	43	1.1%	
Native Hawaiian & Other Pacific Islander	2	0.0%	
Some other race	1,556	38.7%	
Two or more races	153	3.8%	

Universe: Total population

Table SF1 - P7

- The largest number of residents are "White" (53.9%).
- The second largest group of residents are "Some other race" (38.7%).

2000 Race Breakout



Ethnicity


- 66.8% of the population is Hispanic or Latino (of any race).
- Of Hispanic or Latino people, the largest number are "Some other race alone" (57.7% of the Hispanic population).
- Of Hispanic or Latino people, the second largest number are "White alone" (36.3% of the Hispanic population).

Hispanic Population by Race in 2000		% of Total		% of Hispanic	
Hispanic or Latino (of any race)		2,684	66.8%	100.0%	
White alone		975	24.3%	36.3%	
Black or African American alone		3	0.1%	0.1%	
American Indian and Alaska Native alone		37	0.9%	1.4%	
Asian alone		8	0.2%	0.3%	
Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone		-	0.0%	0.0%	
Some other race alone		1,550	38.6%	57.7%	
Two or more races		111	2.8%	4.1%	
Not Hispanic or Latino		1,334	33.2%		
Total:		4,018	100.0%		

Universe: Total population

Table SF1 - P8

Hispanic by Race



Housing

- 70.0% of the housing units are occupied.
- 57.2% of the housing units are owner occupied or for sale.
- 15.0% of the housing units are renter occupied or for rent.
- 16.4% of the housing units are vacant units for seasonal, recreational, or occasional use.


Housing in 2000		% of Total	
Total Housing Units	1,935		
Universe: Housing units SF1 - H1			
Occupied	1,354	70.0%	
Vacant Units - Total	581		
Vacancy Rate (%)	30.0%		
Average Household Size	2.8		
Universe: Housing units SF1 - H3, H12			
Owner Occupied Units		% of Total	
Owner Occupied	1,085	56.1%	
Vacant Units - For Sale Only	21		
Homeowner Vacancy Rate (%)	1.9%		
Average Household Size	2.9		
Universe: Occupied housing units SF1 - H4, H5 & H12			
Rental Units		% of Total	
Renter Occupied	269	13.9%	
Vacant Units - For Rent	22		
Rental Vacancy Rate (%)	7.6%		
Average Household Size	2.6		
Universe: Occupied housing units SF1 - H4, H5 & H12			
Vacant Units		% of Total	
For rent	22	1.1%	
For sale only	21	1.1%	
Rented or sold, not occupied	14	0.7%	
For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	318	16.4%	
For migrant workers	1	0.1%	
Other vacant	205	10.6%	
Total Vacant	581	30.0%	
Universe: Vacant housing units SF1 - H5			

Home Construction

- The largest number of houses were built 1990 to march 2000 *.

* Note: This ranking is based on time periods of different lengths. The most recent time period spans 10 years and 3 months.

Home Construction by Decade



Universe: Occupied housing units

SF3 - H36

Housing Affordability - Rentals

- 36% of the median household income was paid in gross rent (incl. utilities).

Rental Affordability


Median gross rent	\$	446
Median gross rent as a percentage of household income in 1999		36%

Universe: Specified renter-occupied housing units paying cash rent

SF3 - H63

Households by Percent of Household Income Paid to Rent

- 24% of the households that pay rent, spend more than 50% of their household income in gross rent (incl. utilities).



Universe: Specified renter-occupied housing units

SF3 - H69

Housing Affordability - Owner Occupied

- The housing affordability index is 105, which suggests that the median family can afford the median house. *

Owner Occupied Housing Affordability

2000

Specified owner-occupied housing units: Median value (Adjusted for Inflation)	\$	106,300
% of median income necessary to buy the median house		24%
Income required to qualify for the median house	\$	30,037
Housing Affordability Index: (100 or above means that the median family can afford the median house.)*		105

Universe: Specified owner-occupied housing units

SF3 - H76

Income in:

1999

Per capita income	\$	13,789
Median household income	\$	29,000
Median family income	\$	31,445

Universe: Total population, Households, Families

SF3 - P82,P53,P77

* Note: The housing affordability figures assume a 20% down payment and that no more than 25% of a family's income goes to paying the mortgage. It is based on an interest rate of 10.01% in 1990 and 8.03% in 2000. Use this statistic as a comparative, rather than absolute, measure.

Employment by Industry (NAICS*) - SF3

Top 10 (of the Top Level Categories)

	Both Sexes		M/F Split
	Number	%	
1) Educational, health and social services:	498	33%	38%/62%
2) Retail trade	202	13%	49%/51%
3) Public administration	188	12%	62%/38%
4) Construction	144	9%	98%/2%
5) Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation and food services:	133	9%	42%/58%
6) Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining:	96	6%	75%/25%
7) Finance, insurance, real estate and rental and leasing:	57	4%	21%/79%
8) Transportation and warehousing, and utilities:	52	3%	60%/40%
9) Profess., scientific, management, admin., and waste management services:	43	3%	58%/42%
10) Other services (except public administration)	38	2%	32%/68%
Total of Top 10	1,451	95%	

Employment by Industry (NAICS)

	Male		Female		Both Sexes		M/F Split
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting, and mining:	72	9%	24	3%	96	6%	75%/25%
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting	72	9%	24	3%	96	6%	75%/25%
Mining	-	0%	-	0%	-	0%	Div. by 0
Construction	141	17%	3	0%	144	9%	98%/2%
Manufacturing	28	3%	6	1%	34	2%	82%/18%
Wholesale trade	19	2%	6	1%	25	2%	76%/24%
Retail trade	98	12%	104	14%	202	13%	49%/51%
Transportation and warehousing, and utilities:	31	4%	21	3%	52	3%	60%/40%
Transportation and warehousing	25	3%	21	3%	46	3%	54%/46%
Utilities	6	1%	-	0%	6	0%	100%/0%
Information	12	1%	6	1%	18	1%	67%/33%
Finance, insurance, real estate and rental and leasing:	12	1%	45	6%	57	4%	21%/79%
Finance and insurance	-	0%	38	5%	38	2%	0%/100%
Real estate and rental and leasing	12	1%	7	1%	19	1%	63%/37%
Profess., scientific, management, admin., and waste management services:	25	3%	18	3%	43	3%	58%/42%
Professional, scientific, and technical services	10	1%	12	2%	22	1%	45%/55%
Management of companies and enterprises	-	0%	-	0%	-	0%	
Administrative and support and waste management services	15	2%	6	1%	21	1%	71%/29%
Educational, health and social services:	187	23%	311	43%	498	33%	38%/62%
Educational services	115	14%	110	15%	225	15%	51%/49%
Health care and social assistance	72	9%	201	28%	273	18%	26%/74%
Arts, entertainment, recreation, accommodation and food services:	56	7%	77	11%	133	9%	42%/58%
Arts, entertainment, and recreation	35	4%	24	3%	59	4%	59%/41%
Accommodation and food services	21	3%	53	7%	74	5%	28%/72%
Other services (except public administration)	12	1%	26	4%	38	2%	32%/68%
Public administration	117	14%	71	10%	188	12%	62%/38%
Total	810		718		1,528		53%/47%

Universe: Employed civilian population 16 years and over

SF3 - P49

Shaded cells indicate that categories that represent more than 10% of the total.

* See the Glossary at the end of the profile for more information about these categories.

The index of industrial specialization was 1,065 compared to 789 in the United States. A more diverse economy has a lower index value.

Top 10 (of the Second Tier Categories)			
	Both Sexes		M/F
	Number	%	Split
1) Professional and related occupations:	264	17%	38%/62%
2) Management, business, and financial operations occupations:	207	14%	54%/46%
3) Sales and related occupations	190	12%	48%/52%
4) Office and administrative support occupations	182	12%	26%/74%
5) Building and grounds cleaning and maintenance occupations	107	7%	73%/27%
6) Construction and extraction occupations:	100	7%	97%/3%
7) Protective service occupations:	88	6%	93%/7%
8) Personal care and service occupations	74	5%	14%/86%
9) Transportation and material moving occupations:	72	5%	85%/15%
10) Production occupations	67	4%	64%/36%
Total of Top 10	1,351	88%	

Employment by Occupation (SOC*)							
	Male		Female		Both Sexes		M/F
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	Split
Management, professional, and related occupations:	212	26%	259	36%	471	31%	45%/55%
Management, business, and financial operations occupations:	111	14%	96	13%	207	14%	54%/46%
Management occupations, except farmers and farm managers	43	5%	59	8%	102	7%	42%/58%
Farmers and farm managers	43	5%	17	2%	60	4%	72%/28%
Business and financial operations occupations:	25	3%	20	3%	45	3%	56%/44%
Business operations specialists	14	2%	5	1%	19	1%	74%/26%
Financial specialists	11	1%	15	2%	26	2%	42%/58%
Professional and related occupations:	101	12%	163	23%	264	17%	38%/62%
Computer and mathematical occupations	7	1%	-	0%	7	0%	100%/0%
Architecture and engineering occupations:	30	4%	9	1%	39	3%	77%/23%
Architects, surveyors, cartographers, and engineers	-	0%	-	0%	-	0%	Div. by 0
Drafters, engineering, and mapping technicians	30	4%	9	1%	39	3%	77%/23%
Life, physical, and social science occupations	-	0%	6	1%	6	0%	0%/100%
Community and social services occupations	7	1%	31	4%	38	2%	18%/82%
Legal occupations	-	0%	-	0%	-	0%	Div. by 0
Education, training, and library occupations	24	3%	53	7%	77	5%	31%/69%
Arts, design, entertainment, sports, and media occupations	12	1%	-	0%	12	1%	100%/0%
Healthcare practitioners and technical occupations:	21	3%	64	9%	85	6%	25%/75%
Health diagnosing and treating practitioners and technical occ.	21	3%	21	3%	42	3%	50%/50%
Health technologists and technicians	-	0%	43	6%	43	3%	0%/100%
Service occupations:	188	23%	188	26%	376	25%	50%/50%
Healthcare support occupations	8	1%	36	5%	44	3%	18%/82%
Protective service occupations:	82	10%	6	1%	88	6%	93%/7%
Fire fighting, prevention, and law enforce. workers, incl. super.	74	9%	6	1%	80	5%	93%/8%
Other protective service workers, including supervisors	8	1%	-	0%	8	1%	100%/0%
Food preparation and serving related occupations	10	1%	53	7%	63	4%	16%/84%
Building and grounds cleaning and maintenance occupations	78	10%	29	4%	107	7%	73%/27%
Personal care and service occupations	10	1%	64	9%	74	5%	14%/86%
Sales and office occupations:	139	17%	233	32%	372	24%	37%/63%
Sales and related occupations	91	11%	99	14%	190	12%	48%/52%
Office and administrative support occupations	48	6%	134	19%	182	12%	26%/74%
Farming, fishing, and forestry occupations	18	2%	-	0%	18	1%	100%/0%
Construction, extraction, and maintenance occupations:	149	18%	3	0%	152	10%	98%/2%
Construction and extraction occupations:	97	12%	3	0%	100	7%	97%/3%
Supervisors, construction and extraction workers	42	5%	-	0%	42	3%	100%/0%
Construction trades workers	55	7%	3	0%	58	4%	95%/5%
Extraction workers	-	0%	-	0%	-	0%	Div. by 0
Installation, maintenance, and repair occupations	52	6%	-	0%	52	3%	100%/0%
Production, transportation, and material moving occupations:	104	13%	35	5%	139	9%	75%/25%
Production occupations	43	5%	24	3%	67	4%	64%/36%
Transportation and material moving occupations:	61	8%	11	2%	72	5%	85%/15%
Supervisors, transportation and material moving workers	8	1%	-	0%	8	1%	100%/0%
Aircraft and traffic control occupations	-	0%	-	0%	-	0%	Div. by 0
Motor vehicle operators	38	5%	5	1%	43	3%	88%/12%
Rail, water and other transportation occupations	-	0%	-	0%	-	0%	Div. by 0
Material moving workers	15	2%	6	1%	21	1%	71%/29%
Total	810		718		1,528		53%/47%

Universe: Employed civilian population 16 years and over

SF3 - P50


* See the Glossary at the end of the profile for more information. Shaded cells indicate that categories that represent more than 10% of the total

Place of Work

- 100% of residents worked in state.
- 82% of residents worked in the county.
- #DIV/0!

State of Work:		
	# of People	%
In State	1,512	100%
Outside State	-	0%
County of Work:		
In County	1,241	82%
Outside County	271	18%
Place of Work:		
Of the people living in a Place (Town)		
Inside Place (Town)	-	#DIV/0!
Outside Place (Town)	-	#DIV/0!
Total	1,512	100%

Universe: Workers 16 years and over SF3 - P26 & P27




Method of Commute

- 6.3% of residents worked at home.
- 1.5% of residents walked or biked to work.
- 0.7% of residents took public transportation.
- 71% of residents drove alone.

Method of Commute		
Car, truck, or van:	1,371	91%
Drove alone	1,069	71%
Carpooled	302	20%
Public transportation:	10	1%
Taxicab	-	0%
Other	10	1%
Motorcycle	-	0%
Bicycle	-	0%
Walked	22	1%
Other means	14	1%
Worked at home	95	6%
Total:	1,512	100%

Universe: Workers 16 years and over SF3 - P30




Commute Time

- 48% of the residents experienced a commute time of under 20 minutes.

Commute Time		
Worked at home	95	6%
Less than 20 Minutes	720	48%
20-45 Minutes	449	30%
45-59 Minutes	108	7%
60 Minutes or More	140	9%
Total	1,512	100%

Universe: Workers 16 years and over SF3 - P31




Place of Birth

- 66.8% of residents were born in state.
- 33.2% of residents were born in a different state.
- 5.7% of residents were born outside the United States.

Place of Birth		
State Of Residence	2,745	67%
Northeast	186	5%
Midwest	228	6%
South	297	7%
West	419	10%
Outside The US*	236	6%
Total:	4,111	100%

Universe: Total population SF3 - P21

* Includes Puerto Rico and U.S. Islands




New Residents Since 1995

- 20% of the residents lived in a different county in 1995.
- 12% lived in a different state in 1995.
- 4% lived outside of the country in 1995.

New Residents Since 1995		
Same house in 1995	2,199	58%
Different house in 1995:	1,621	42%
In United States in 1995:	1,459	38%
Same county	690	18%
Different county:	769	20%
Same state	317	8%
Different state:	452	12%
Northeast	90	2%
Midwest	61	2%
South	149	4%
West	152	4%
In Puerto Rico or other US Island	-	0%
Foreign country or at sea	162	4%
Total:	3,820	100%

Universe: Population 5 years and over


SF3 - P24



Income Distribution

- 71% of the individuals earned less than \$30K. *

- 1% of individuals earned more than \$100K. *



Income Distribution							
	Number of Men	Number of Women	Total	% of Total	% that make less than...	% that make more than...	
\$1 to \$2,499 or loss	81	176	257	14%	14%	100%	
\$2,500 to \$4,999	45	61	106	6%	20%	86%	
\$5,000 to \$7,499	41	54	95	5%	25%	80%	
\$7,500 to \$9,999	64	65	129	7%	32%	75%	
\$10,000 to \$12,499	79	105	184	10%	42%	68%	
\$12,500 to \$14,999	38	40	78	4%	46%	58%	
\$15,000 to \$17,499	48	58	106	6%	52%	54%	
\$17,500 to \$19,999	49	36	85	5%	57%	48%	
\$20,000 to \$22,499	78	18	96	5%	62%	43%	
\$22,500 to \$24,999	36	18	54	3%	65%	38%	
\$25,000 to \$29,999	55	67	122	7%	71%	35%	
\$30,000 to \$34,999	83	54	137	7%	79%	29%	
\$35,000 to \$39,999	77	33	110	6%	85%	21%	
\$40,000 to \$44,999	79	46	125	7%	92%	15%	
\$45,000 to \$49,999	28	32	60	3%	95%	8%	
\$50,000 to \$54,999	12	12	24	1%	96%	5%	
\$55,000 to \$64,999	18	-	18	1%	97%	4%	
\$65,000 to \$74,999	7	-	7	0%	98%	3%	
\$75,000 to \$99,999	18	10	28	2%	99%	2%	
\$100,000 or more	14	-	14	1%	100%	1%	
Total:	950	885	1,835	100%			

- The income bracket with the largest number of individuals is "\$1 to \$2,499 or loss". *

Per Capita Income

- Per Capita Income In 1999 was \$13,789.


Universe: Population 16 years and over with earnings

SF3 - P82, P84

* Includes full and part-time.

Income Distribution

- In 1999, 51% of households in San Miguel County, New Mexico, Las Vegas CCD earned less than \$30K. *
- In 1999, 5% of households earned more than \$100K. *
- In 1999, for every household that made over \$100K, there were 11.1 households that made under 30K.
- In 1999, the income bracket with the largest number of households is "Less than \$10,000". *



Median Income

- Median Household Income In 1999 was \$29,000.*

Income Distribution				
Income Bracket	Number of Households	% of Total	% of Households that make less than...	% of Households that make more than...
Less than \$10,000	191	14%	14%	100%
\$10,000 to \$14,999	103	8%	22%	86%
\$15,000 to \$19,999	169	13%	34%	78%
\$20,000 to \$24,999	145	11%	45%	66%
\$25,000 to \$29,999	82	6%	51%	55%
\$30,000 to \$34,999	78	6%	57%	49%
\$35,000 to \$39,999	71	5%	62%	43%
\$40,000 to \$44,999	97	7%	70%	38%
\$45,000 to \$49,999	94	7%	77%	30%
\$50,000 to \$59,999	71	5%	82%	23%
\$60,000 to \$74,999	129	10%	92%	18%
\$75,000 to \$99,999	52	4%	95%	8%
\$100,000 to \$124,999	10	1%	96%	5%
\$125,000 to \$149,999	22	2%	98%	4%
\$150,000 to \$199,999	13	1%	99%	2%
\$200,000 or more	17	1%	100%	1%
Total:	1,344	100%		


Universe: Households

SF3 - P52, P53

* Includes full and part-time.

Sources of Income


- 60.2% of income was derived from wage or salary income.
- 8.7% of income was derived from self-employment income.
- 68.9% of income was from labor earnings (wages & self-employed income).
- 25.1% of income was from retirement, social security, or from investments.*
- 0.8% of income was derived from public assistance income.



Income Distribution		% of Total
Wage Or Salary Income	\$ 33,265,500	60.2%
Self-Employment Income	\$ 4,832,500	8.7%
Interest, Dividends, Or Net Rental Income	\$ 6,748,800	12.2%
Social Security Income	\$ 3,221,300	5.8%
Supplemental Security Income	\$ 790,100	1.4%
Public Assistance Income	\$ 455,900	0.8%
Retirement Income	\$ 3,905,900	7.1%
Other Types Of Income	\$ 2,047,300	3.7%
Total*	\$ 55,267,300	

Universe: Households

SF3-P68-75



* Note: Income does not include capital gains. See glossary for more information.

Educational Attainment


- 23% of residents 25 and over have less than a high school degree.
- 12% of residents have an advanced college degree.
- 24% of residents have a college degree or greater.

Educational Attainment	Number	%
Less than high school	550	23%
High school	554	24%
Some college	545	23%
Associate degree	124	5%
Bachelor's degree	281	12%
Master's degree	214	9%
Professional school degree	47	2%
Doctoral degree	32	1%
Total	2,347	

Universe: Population 25 years and over

Table P37

Educational Attainment



School Enrollment


- 16% of residents were enrolled in college, graduate school, or professional school.
- 7% of residents were enrolled in high school.
- 19% of residents were enrolled in nursery school, preschool, kindergarten, or grade school.

School Enrollment	Number	%
Nursery school, preschool & Kindergarten	141	4%
Grades 1-8	612	15%
High School	285	7%
College - Undergrad	558	14%
College - Graduate or Professional	75	2%
Not in School	2,278	58%
Total	3,949	

Universe: Population 3 years and over

Table P36

School Enrollment



Seasonal Workers Workers by Weeks Per Year



- 55.7% of residents worked 50 to 52 weeks per year.
- 29.2% of residents worked less than 40 weeks per year.

	Female		Male		Total	
	Number	% of Tot	Number	% of Tot	Number	% of Tot
50 to 52 weeks	439	50%	584	61%	1,023	56%
48 and 49 weeks	58	7%	71	7%	129	7%
40 to 47 weeks	57	6%	91	10%	148	8%
27 to 39 weeks	77	9%	62	7%	139	8%
14 to 26 weeks	162	18%	72	8%	234	13%
1 to 13 weeks	92	10%	70	7%	162	9%
Total (Worked in 1999)	885	100%	950	100%	1,835	100%

Universe: Population 16 years and over

Table P47 - SF3

Percentages are based on the total population aged 16 and over who worked in 1999.



Income by Work Status


- Part-time workers experience lower incomes.

Median Income by Work Status

	Female	Male
Total	10,827	19,554
Worked full-time, year round in 1999	27,411	29,605
Other	7,376	13,482

Universe: Population 15 years and over with income in 1999.

Table PCT45 - SF3




Workers per Family

- 50% of families had 2 or more workers.

Workers Per Family		
	Number	% of Fam.
No workers	195	20%
1 worker	300	30%
2 workers	459	46%
3 or more workers	40	4%

Universe: Families SF3 - P48

Number of Workers Per Family




Full Time/Part Time

- 44% of residents aged 16 and over that worked at least 35 hours per week in 1999.
- Of those who worked, 73% worked at least 35 hours per week in 1999.

Workers by Hours Per Week			
	Number	% of total 16+	% of those who worked
Worked in 1999:	1,835	60%	100%
Usually worked 35 + hrs. / week	1,342	44%	73%
Usually worked 15 to 34 hrs. / week	365	12%	20%
Usually worked 1 to 14 hrs. / week	128	4%	7%
Did not work in 1999	1,201	40%	
Total (16 and over)	3,036	100%	

Universe: Population 16 years and over SF3 - P47

Workers by Hours Per Week Worked



Poverty by Age & Sex (Individuals)


- 24% of individuals had income that was below the poverty line in 1999.
- 30% of individuals under 18 years old lived below the poverty line in 1999.

Poverty by Age & Sex (Individuals)						
	Women		Men		Total	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
Income in 1999 below poverty level:						
Under 5 years	36	25%	61	42%	97	33%
5 years	-	0%	20	61%	20	50%
6 to 11 years	56	35%	71	31%	127	33%
12 to 14 years	15	14%	31	26%	46	20%
15 years	6	19%	6	100%	12	32%
16 and 17 years	25	35%	11	14%	36	24%
18 to 24 years	113	43%	43	52%	156	45%
25 to 34 years	50	24%	52	17%	102	20%
35 to 44 years	54	19%	39	12%	93	15%
45 to 54 years	17	6%	43	18%	60	11%
55 to 64 years	18	14%	25	22%	43	18%
65 to 74 years	45	45%	32	20%	77	29%
75 years and over	30	28%	6	12%	36	23%
Under 18 years	138	26%	200	33%	338	30%
Over 65 years	75	36%	38	18%	113	27%
Total	465	24%	440	23%	905	24%

Universe: Population for whom poverty status is determined.

SF3 - PCT75A-I

Percent under Poverty by Sex and Age



For more information about how the Census measures poverty:
<http://www.census.gov/hhes/poverty/povdef.html>
 or the poverty threshold in 1999:
<http://www.census.gov/hhes/poverty/threshld/thresh99.html>

Poverty by Race and Ethnicity (Individuals)


- The race with the highest poverty rate is "Other Race" (26% were under the poverty line in 1999.)
- The race with the lowest poverty rate is "Black" (were under the poverty line in 1999.)

Poverty by Race (Individuals)	% of Total	
	Number	%
White	483	24%
Black	-	
American Indian And Alaska Native	-	0%
Asian	-	
Native Hawaiian & Other Pacific Islander	-	
Other Race	410	26%
2 or more races	12	6%
Hispanic Or Latino	683	26%
White not Hispanic	213	19%

Universe: Population for whom poverty status is determined.

Table PCT075A-I

Percent Under Poverty by Race or Hispanic



- Race and Ethnicity are broken out separately. The Ethnicity breakout is separate because Hispanics can be of any race.

Poverty by Household Type

- The family type with the highest poverty rate is "Male - No Wife - 5 to 17 years only " (53% were under the poverty line in 1999.)

Families Under Poverty by Household Type	Married		Male - No Wife		Female - No Husband	
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%
With related children under 18 years:	57	15%	42	50%	45	51%
Under 5 years only	13	24%	8	40%	5	
Under 5 years and 5 to 17 years	27	25%	0		6	35%
5 to 17 years only	17	8%	34	53%	34	52%
No related children under 18 years	35	9%	13	30%	2	10%
Total	92	12%	55	43%	47	44%
Total (Married, Male and Female)			194	20%		

Universe: Families


Table P90

Note: The percentages above represent the number of families under the poverty line divided by the total number of families in that category.

Language

- 43% of the population 5 years and over speaks only English.
- 76.5% of the population that speaks something other than English, speaks English "Very Well".

Language English/NonEnglish with NonEnglish Breakout



Universe: Population 5 years and over

SF3 - P19

Urban/Rural


- 29.1% of residents of San Miguel County, New Mexico, Las Vegas CCD live in urban areas.

Rural/Urban Breakout		
	Population	Percent
Total:	4,111	
Urban:	1,196	29%
Rural:	2,915	71%
Farm	68	2%
Nonfarm	2,847	69%
Inside urbanized areas	-	0%
Inside urban clusters	1,196	29%

Universe: Total population

SF3 - P5

Rural / Urban Breakout



Military / Civilian


- 0.0% of San Miguel County, New Mexico, Las Vegas CCD are in the Armed Forces.

Military / Civilian		
	Population	Percent
In Armed Forces	-	0.0%
Civilian:	2,773	100.0%
Veteran	314	11.3%
Nonveteran	2,459	88.7%
Total	2,773	100.0%

Universe: Population 18 years and over

SF3 - P39

Military Breakout



The following describes the differences between the two data sources in this profile and has been copied from the U.S. Census website.

<http://www.census.gov/Press-Release/www/2002/sf3compnote.html>

Comparing SF 3 Estimates with Corresponding Values in SF 1 and SF 2

As in earlier censuses, the responses from the sample of households reporting on long forms must be weighted to reflect the entire population. Specifically, each responding household represents, on average, six or seven other households who reported using short forms.

One consequence of the weighting procedures is that each estimate based on the long form responses has an associated confidence interval. These confidence intervals are wider (as a percentage of the estimate) for geographic areas with smaller populations and for characteristics that occur less frequently in the area being examined (such as the proportion of people in poverty in a middle-income neighborhood).

In order to release as much useful information as possible, statisticians must balance a number of factors. In particular, for Census 2000, the Bureau of the Census created weighting areas --geographic areas from which about two hundred or more long forms were completed-- which are large enough to produce good quality estimates. If smaller weighting areas had been used, the confidence intervals around the estimates would have been significantly wider, rendering many estimates less useful due to their lower reliability.

The disadvantage of using weighting areas this large is that, for smaller geographic areas within them, the estimates of characteristics that are also reported on the short form will not match the counts reported in SF 1 or SF 2. Examples of these characteristics are the total number of people, the number of people reporting specific racial categories, and the number of housing units. The official values for items reported on the short form come from SF 1 and SF 2.

The differences between the long form estimates in SF 3 and values in SF 1 or SF 2 are particularly noticeable for the smallest places, tracts, and block groups. The long form estimates of total population and total housing units in SF 3 will, however, match the SF 1 and SF 2 counts for larger geographic areas such as counties and states, and will be essentially the same for medium and large cities.

This phenomenon also occurred for the 1990 Census, although in that case, the weighting areas included relatively small places. As a result, the long form estimates matched the short form counts for those places, but the confidence intervals around the estimates of characteristics collected only on the long form were often significantly wider (as a percentage of the estimate).

SF 1 gives exact numbers even for very small groups and areas, whereas SF 3 gives estimates for small groups and areas such as tracts and small places that are less exact. The goal of SF 3 is to identify large differences among areas or large changes over time. Estimates for small areas and small population groups often do exhibit large changes from one census to the next, so having the capability to measure them is worthwhile.

Detailed documentation about the 2000 Census is available in two large Acrobat .pdf files from the Census Website:

SF1 Documentation 6 Meg <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf1.pdf>
SF3 Documentation 7 Meg <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf3.pdf>

Following are some excerpts from the sf3.pdf file regarding income (copied from page 1023 of sf3.pdf)

INCOME IN 1999

The data on income in 1999 were derived from answers to long-form questionnaire Items 31 and 32, which were asked of a sample of the population 15 years old and over. "Total income" is the sum of the amounts reported separately for wage or salary income; net self-employment income; interest, dividends, or net rental or royalty income or income from estates and trusts; social security or railroad retirement income; Supplemental Security Income (SSI); public assistance or welfare payments; retirement, survivor, or disability pensions; and all other income.

"Earnings" are defined as the sum of wage or salary income and net income from selfemployment.

"Earnings" represent the amount of income received regularly for people 16 years old and over before deductions for personal income taxes, social security, bond purchases, union dues, medicare deductions, etc.

Receipts from the following sources are not included as income: capital gains, money received from the sale of property (unless the recipient was engaged in the business of selling such property); the value of income "in kind" from food stamps, public housing subsidies, medical care, employer contributions for individuals, etc.; withdrawal of bank deposits; money borrowed; tax refunds; exchange of money between relatives living in the same household; and gifts and lump-sum inheritances, insurance payments, and other types of lump-sum receipts.

Income Type in 1999

The eight types of income reported in the census are defined as follows:

1. Wage or salary income. Wage or salary income includes total money earnings received for work performed as an employee during the calendar year 1999. It includes wages, salary, armed forces pay, commissions, tips, piece-rate payments, and cash bonuses earned before deductions were made for taxes, bonds, pensions, union dues, etc.

2. Self-employment income. Self-employment income includes both farm and nonfarm self-employment income. *Nonfarm self-employment income* includes net money income (gross receipts minus expenses) from one's own business, professional enterprise, or partnership. Gross receipts include the value of all goods sold and services rendered. Expenses include costs of goods purchased, rent, heat, light, power, depreciation charges, wages and salaries paid, business taxes (not personal income taxes), etc. *Farm self-employment income* includes net money income (gross receipts minus operating expenses) from the operation of a farm by a person on his or her own account, as an owner, renter, or sharecropper. Gross receipts include the value of all products sold, government farm programs, money received from the rental of farm equipment to others, and incidental receipts from the sale of wood, sand, gravel, etc. Operating expenses include cost of feed, fertilizer, seed, and other farming supplies, cash wages paid to farmhands, depreciation charges, cash rent, interest on farm mortgages, farm building repairs, farm taxes (not state and federal personal income taxes), etc. The value of fuel, food, or other farm products used for family living is not included as part of net income.

3. Interest, dividends, or net rental income. Interest, dividends, or net rental income includes interest on savings or bonds, dividends from stockholdings or membership in associations, net income from rental of property to others and receipts from boarders or lodgers, net royalties, and periodic payments from an estate or trust fund.

4. **Social security income.** Social security income includes social security pensions and survivors benefits, permanent disability insurance payments made by the Social Security Administration prior to deductions for medical insurance, and railroad retirement insurance checks from the U.S. government. Medicare reimbursements are not included.

5. **Supplemental Security Income (SSI).** Supplemental Security Income (SSI) is a nationwide U.S. assistance program administered by the Social Security Administration that guarantees a minimum level of income for needy aged, blind, or disabled individuals. The census questionnaire for Puerto Rico asked about the receipt of SSI; however, SSI is not a federally administered program in Puerto Rico. Therefore, it is probably not being interpreted by most respondents as the same as SSI in the United States. The only way a resident of Puerto Rico could have appropriately reported SSI would have been if they lived in the United States at any time during calendar year 1999 and received SSI.

6. **Public assistance income.** Public assistance income includes general assistance and Temporary Assistance to Needy Families (TANF). Separate payments received for hospital or other medical care (vendor payments) are excluded. This does not include Supplemental Security Income (SSI).

7. **Retirement income.** Retirement income includes: (1) retirement pensions and survivor benefits from a former employer; labor union; or federal, state, or local government; and the U.S. military; (2) income from workers' compensation; disability income from companies or unions; federal, state, or local government; and the U.S. military; (3) periodic receipts from annuities and insurance; and (4) regular income from IRA and KEOGH plans. This does not include social security income.

8. **All other income.** All other income includes unemployment compensation, Veterans' Administration (VA) payments, alimony and child support, contributions received periodically from people not living in the household, military family allotments, and other kinds of periodic income other than earnings.

Industry Codes (on pages 5 and 6)

[Reprinted from pages 1028-9 of sf3.pdf at http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf3.pdf.](http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/doc/sf3.pdf)

The industry classification system used during Census 2000 was developed for the census and consists of 265 categories for employed people, classified into 14 major industry groups. From 1940 through 1990, the industrial classification has been based on the Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Manual. The Census 2000 classification was developed from the 1997 North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) published by the Office of Management and Budget, Executive Office of the President. NAICS is an industry description system that groups establishments into industries based on the activities in which they are primarily engaged. The NAICS differs from most industry classifications because it is a supply-based, or production-oriented economic concept. Census data, which were collected from households, differ in detail and nature from those obtained from establishment surveys. Therefore, the census classification system, while defined in NAICS terms, cannot reflect the full detail in all categories.

NAICS shows a more detailed hierarchical structure than that used for Census 2000. The expansion from 11 divisions in the SIC to 20 sectors in the NAICS provides groupings that are meaningful and useful for economic analysis. Various statistical programs that previously sampled or published at the SIC levels face problems with the coverage for 20 sectors instead of 11 divisions. These programs requested an alternative aggregation structure for production purposes which was approved and issued by the Office of Management and Budget on May 15, 2001, in the clarification Memorandum No. 2, "NAICS Alternate Aggregation Structure for Use by U.S. Statistical Agencies." Several census data products will use the alternative aggregation, while others, such as Summary File 3 and Summary File 4, will use more detail.

Occupation (SOC)

The occupational classification system used during Census 2000 consists of 509 specific occupational categories for employed people arranged into 23 major occupational groups. This classification was developed based on the Standard Occupational Classification (SOC) Manual: 2000, which includes a hierarchical structure showing 23 major occupational groups divided into 96 minor groups, 449 broad groups, and 821 detailed occupations. For Census 2000, tabulations with occupation as the primary characteristic present several levels of occupational detail.

Some occupation groups are related closely to certain industries. Operators of transportation equipment, farm operators and workers, and healthcare providers account for major portions of their respective industries of transportation, agriculture, and health care. However, the industry categories include people in other occupations. For example, people employed in agriculture include truck drivers and bookkeepers; people employed in the transportation industry include mechanics, freight handlers, and payroll clerks; and people employed in the health care industry include occupations such as security guard and secretary.